Policy Brief: Adaptation of Protected 4eu+ **Historic Cities to Heat**

Executive Summary

Historic cities like Heidelberg face unique challenges in adapting to climate change due to the Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect and heat waves, which threaten public health, productivity, and cultural heritage.

Legal restrictions on altering heritage streetscapes and buildings limit traditional cooling methods, making adaptation in protected areas particularly challenging.

Targeted, non-invasive strategies effective solutions that enhance climate resilience without compromising historic character.

To adapt historic cities to climate change, we recommend:

- Implementing participatory planning to align climate actions with local needs and heritage constraints
- Applying urban acupuncture for smallscale, high-impact cooling interventions
- Using **cool surfaces** to reduce pavement heat without altering architecture
- Deploying **temporary shading** to improve outdoor comfort while preserving visual integrity

Problem and Context

Historic cities are often located in urban centers which makes them more exposed to the UHI effect. Rising temperatures cause material deterioration in historic buildings. which were not designed for current conditions. This leads to damage that can change the city's landscape character and loss of cultural identity. Moreover, many historic cities serve as key economic centers due to their historical value, making UHI mitigation crucial for sustainable urban development to preserve the urban historic landscape for

socio-cultural identity. particular challenge is that these city centers unique architectural and have spatial constraints that limit the use of conventional cooling solutions. Urban greening for example may not be as viable due to the lack of road and accessible groundwater. space Additionally, urban design guidelines in historic cities may prevent the physical adaptation of buildings to UHI.

Heidelberg Case Study

Heidelberg, situated in the Upper Rhine Valley -Germany's warmest region-is increasingly exposed to the impacts of climate change. Between 2041 and 2070, the number of heat stress days is projected to rise by 50% compared to the 1971-2000 baseline. These impacts will be most severe in the city's core, where the urban heat island (UHI) effect heightens heat exposure—particularly in the Old Town and West Town districts.

Adapting these risks is particularly challenging, as Heidelberg is home to approximately 2,900 listed historical buildings, with both Old Town and West Town designated in full as heritage districts. Their dense urban fabric and strict preservation rules significantly limit the use of conventional adaptation measures. Any modifications in protected areas to building façades, streetscapes, or land use must be approved by the Municipal Office for Building Law and Heritage Protection.

Given these constraints, climate adaptation in Heidelberg requires а context-sensitive approach that aligns resilience planning with heritage conservation. The following policy recommendations outline strategies to achieve this balance.

Adaptation Strategies

Participatory Planning

Involves both local stakeholders and residents of the city in adaptation decisions.

Pros

- Effective climate policy by cooperation, coordination and a problem-solving approach
- Fosters coordinated climate action

Cons

- Time-consuming
- · Risk of unequal participation

Urban Acupuncture

Targets specific stress points within a community for small-scale cooling interventions.

Pros

- Locally-focused and cost-effective
- Fast implementation
- Minimal disruption of historic environment

Cons

- Limited scope for larger challenges
- Community participation is often uneven and it is difficult to get disparate groups to participate equally

Cool Surfaces

Refers to the replacement of pavement with less reflective and emissive material.

Pros

- Can reduce the surface temperature up to 12°C compared to conventional pavements
- Helps lower ambient urban temperatures up to 1.7°C

Cons

- Efficacy dependent on local environment
- · Highly reflective surfaces can cause glare

Temporary Shading

Refers to seasonal or removable structures such as awnings or canopies.

Pros

- Enhances thermal comfort
- Easily reversible and adjustable
- Flexibility, such solution can be adapted to different urban settings and needs

Cons

- Limited effectivness on cloudy days
- May conflict with cultural or aesthetic values, especially in areas where visual access to landmarks is prioritized

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Establish a municipal task force dedicated to climate adaptation in heritage areas.
- 2. Launch funding programs for property owners to implement passive cooling solutions.
- 3. Pilot temporary shading projects in Old Town public spaces.
- 4. Foster public participation through neighborhood climate forums.

References

- Akbari, H., Pomerantz, M. & Taha, H. (2001). Cool surfaces and shade trees to reduce energy
 use and improve air quality in urban areas, Solar Energy, 70 (3), 295-310.
- Balicka, J., Storie, J., Kuhlmann, F., et al. (2021). Tactical urbanism, urban acupuncture and small-scale projects. In: Bell et al. (Ed.) Urban Blue Spaces. Planning and Design for Water, Health and Well-Being, 506.
- Betsill, M.M., & Bulkeley, H. (2006). Cities and the Multilevel Governance of Global Climate Change. Global Governance, 12(2), 141–159.
- Calderon, C., Mutter, A., Westin, M., & Butler, A. (2022). Navigating swift and slow planning: planners' balancing act in the design of participatory processes. European Planning Studies, 32(2), 390–409.
- Friendly, A. (2019). The contradictions of participatory planning: Reflections on the role of politics in urban development in Niterói, Brazil. Journal of Urban Affairs, 41(7), 910–929.
- Lane, M. & McDonald, G. (2005). Community-Based Environmental Planning: Operational Dilemmas, Planning Principles and Possible Remedies. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*. 48: 709-731.

- Lee, I., Voogt, J., & Gillespie, T. (2018). Analysis and Comparison of Shading Strategies to Increase
 Human Thermal Comfort in Urban Areas. Atmosphere, 9, 91.
- Lontorfos, V., Efthymiou, C. & Santamouris, M. (2018). On the time varying mitigation performance of reflective geoengineering technologies in cities. Renewable Energy, 115, 926-930.
- Middel, A., Turner, V.K., Schneider, F.A., et al. (2020). Solar reflective pavements-A policy panacea to heat mitigation? Environmental Research Letters, 15:064016.
- Moussavi A. SMR, Lak, A. & Tabrizi, N. (2024). A conceptual framework to mitigate the adverse
 effects of surface urban heat islands through urban acupuncture: a two-phase scenario of diagnosis
 and prescription at the neighborhood scale. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 12:1324326.
- Nagorny-Koring, N.C. (2018). Leading the way with examples and ideas? Governing climate change in German municipalities through best practices. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, 21(1), 46–60
- Pioppi, B., et al. (2020). Cultural heritage microclimate change: Human-centric approach to experimentally investigate intra-urban overheating and numerically assess foreseen future scenarios impact. Science of the Total Environment, 703:134448.
- Winklmayr, C., Matthies-Wiesler, F., Muthers, S., et al. (2023). Heat in Germany: Health risks and preventive measures. Journal of Health Monitoring, 8 (4), 3-32.

This document was produced within the EU4+ Collegio Futuro Spring School 2025 by the interdisciplinary group of Michalina Broda, Charles Hatfield, Katharina Heß, Michał Piasecki, Syrine Souissi, and Irina Merz.